



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,935	12/09/2003	Daiki Ninomiya	245781US0	2382
22850	7590	02/23/2007	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				CHAWLA, JYOTI
1940 DUKE STREET				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		1761		
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/23/2007		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/729,935	NINOMIYA ET AL.	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____
(1) <u>Jyoti Chawla</u> .	(3) _____
(2) <u>Surinder Sachar</u> .	(4) _____
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>16 February 2007</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____
<b>Type of Interview:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
<b>Part I.</b>	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<b>Part II.</b>	
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>	
See Continuation Sheet	
<b>Part III.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
 MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The statutory time period for the reply to the restriction requirement was discussed and the mistatement on the office action summary mailed Feb 7, 2007 was acknowledged and corrected. The applicant was informed that the correct statutory period is 1 month from the date of mailing of the restriction requirement .